

February 3, 2010

Sent via email

Kelcey Land U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 8, 8ENF-T 999 18th Street, Suite 300 Denver, Colorado 80202-2466

RE: Progress report for December 2009 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Kelcey:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the December 2009 progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at pglader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely,

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc: HMC Legal Dept (w/o attachments)



February 3, 2010

Sent via U.S. Mail

Glenn Rogers, Chairman. Shivwits Band of Paiute Indian Tribe 6060 West 3650 North Ivins, Utah 84738

John Krause Bureau of Indian Affairs 400 North 5th Street, Floor 12 Phoenix, AZ 85004

Kelly Youngbear BIA Southern Paiute Agency P.O. Box 720 St. George, UT 84771

RE:

Progress report for December 2009 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Chairman Rogers, Mr. Krause and Ms. Youngbear:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the December 2009 progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or email at pglader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely,

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc:

HMC Legal Dept. (w/o attachments)

Kelcey Land (USEPA, Region VIII) (w/o attachments)



MEMORANDUM TO:

Apex File

COPIES TO:

distribution

FROM:

Paul Glader

SUBJECT:

Progress Report No. 68 for period ending December 31,

2009; Pond 2 Final Closure - Apex Site, Washington

County, Utah

Summary

The monthly visual inspection, per the long term monitoring plan, was conducted on December 12. No unusual conditions were noted.

Geotechnical Monitoring

Based on the data showing that the facility has experienced consistently low settlement rates over the past three years, Hecla will continue to monitor the facility, however with survey data being collected on an annual basis.

The settlement monitors were surveyed on August 17, 2009. No appreciable movement was noted. MEI prepared a Surface Monument Survey Data Review. Based on surface monitoring survey data collected from January 2006 through August 2009:

- Overall settlement of the reclaimed impoundment top surface continues to be very minor
- Settlement rates continue to slightly decrease

Work Planned for Next Period

Visual inspection of site

Cost and Schedule

Committed costs in December 2009 were \$176. Total project to date committed cost is approximately \$1,475,500.

Supplemental Attachments

December 2009 site inspection report

December 2009 cost report

<u>Annual Site Inspection Summary Sheet - Apex Site - Pond 2</u>

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 1 of 4 - Summary

Date: 12	112/0	, P		
Inspector:	Trui	nu a		
Cover System Component	Pc	otential Problem	Allowable Limits	Limits Potentially Exceeded
Site Perimeter	Erosion or	r Fencing Issues	NA .	NA
	Subsidence		MInor: ponding < 1" some gullying / erosion	Yes* No
·			Significant: see Table 2	Yes* No 🗹
·	Embankment Slope Stability		excessive movement or surface cracks > than 1"	Yes* No
		on top	depth > 1"	Vac * No
Cover System (outslopes, top,		at embankment crest or on outslope	depth > 2"	Yes* No
rock)	Gullying	w/in normal flow channel in diversion channel	no gullying allowed	Yes* No
		w/in diversions at toe of impoundment outslope	no gullying allowed	Yes* No
·		in diversion channel at any other location	NA	NA
	Erosion Protection Stability		rock subsiding or missing	Yes * No
	Seepage		no colored seepage aliowed (red, blue, yellow w/ crystallization)	Yes No
	Diversion Channel		rock in place, channel not moving, fence stable	Yes 🖈 No
Runoff Control System	Diversion Swales		rock in place, no silting in or head cutting	Yes No _
	Excessive silt build up at fence lines in diversion channel		allowed if not effecting cover system	Yes * No

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan Form 2 of 4 - Site Perimeter

	Inspection Date: 12/12/07
	Inspector: Trum
	Visible Outlying Areas
Observed Condition:	No Change
Observed Damage:	Non «
	May require repair: Yes* No
	Property Boundary Fence and Gate (walk fence line)
Observed Condition:	Goton, Signi, & Fence Na in good Reprix
Observed Damage:	None
Potential Corrective Actions:	
	May require repair: Yes* No
	All Upgradient Areas (areas that drain onto property)
Observed Condition:	No Champe
Observed Damage:	woode
	May require repair: Yes* No

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 3 of 4 - Impoundment

	Inspection Date: 17/12/09	<u> </u>
	Outslopes	
Observed Performance:	Rock Cover Subsidence: Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Excessive Slope Movement (failure): Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Guily Development: Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No/
	Observable Leachate (colored): Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No/
	Excessive Siltation (at slope toe): Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed Damage: /	Jon-	
Potential Corrective Actions:	NAVE	
	Top (top surface soils)	
Observed Performance:	Cracking (>1" width): Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Settlement / Evidence of Ponding: Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Erosion / Gullying: Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed . Damage:	NW-	
Potential Corrective Actions:	Nowe	
	Erosion Protection Layer (rock)	
Observed Performance;	Rock Staying in Place: Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Rock Subsiding: Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Missing Rock: Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed / Damage:	VOME	
Potentiai Corrective Actions:	North	

" mark all areas of concern of requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 4 of 4 - Diversion Channel and Swales

			·
Date: 12 Inspector:	12/07 Truno		
		Diversion Channel	
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Normal Flow Channel in place:	Yes No _	May require repair: Yes* No
	Encroaching on Site Fencing:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed Damage:	None		
Potential Corrective Actions;	NOWIL		
		Diversion Swales	
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes No _	May require repair: Yes* No
	Flow Channel Silting in:	Yes _ No _	May require repair: Yes* No
	Head Cutting:	Yes _ No _	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed Damage:	Alwa re		
Potential Corrective Actions:	NWIL	· · · · · ·	

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Activity	2004 Budget	Revised Budget May 2004	Committed Cost this Period	Cumulative Committed Cost To Date 12-31-09	Forecasted Cost To Complete	Forecasted Final Cost	Remarks on Forecast to Complete
Phases I through III (Completed February 2006)							
Phase I - Drain Excess Liquid From Tailings	189,200	72,700	·	67,928	0	67,928	
Phases II, IIA + IIB - Evaporate Excess Liquid	6.000	8.000		242,882	0	242,882	
					_		
Phase III - Regrading & Final Cover System	337,000	342,050		504,742	0	504,742	
3				55 1,1 115			
Field Indirect Costs	164.500	213,568		378,517	0	378,517	Includes Jan + Feb 2006 long term monitoring costs
				0.0(0.1			
Hecla Costs	18,700	18,700	0	33,324	0	33,324	
			<u>-</u>				
Subtotal Phases I through III	715,400	655,018	0	1,227,393	0	1,227,393	
Long Term Monitoring (through FY 2010)							
Site Inspections	<u> </u>		176	190,167	4,546	194,713	
Settlement Monitoring		<u> </u>	110	8,775		10,425	
Consultant Support:				0,7,0	1,000	10,420	·
Annual Geotechnical Engineer Inspections				2,495	18,100	20 595	Includes settlement monitoring data analysis
Vegetation Monitoring			0	2,,00	20,000		Allowance for surveys in FY 2008 - 2010
Site Conditions Review - MEI				7,669		9.801	Allowatice for surveys in 1 1 2000 - 2010
Site Conditions Review - SVL Analytical			0	2,079		2.079	
Erosion Repair Review - MEI				2,927		3,500	
Revegetation Review - Bamberg				2,021	3,500	3,500	
revegetation review - Damberg					3,300		
						-	
Maintenance:			-				
Erosion Repair Allowance				21,941	7,500		Erosion repair conducted April 2008
Revegetation Allowance				9,912	10,000	19,912	Revegetation conducted April 2008
Harla Baria (Managana) (C.)							
Hecla Project Management Costs:							
Labor			0	2,266		10,175	
Travel expenses		·	0		1,312	1,312	
Cultivial Lang Tame Manufacture			470	040.004	77.000	005 450	
Subtotal Long Term Monitoring	0	0	176	248,231	77,222	325,453	
						_	
Total David O Fire I Olympia	745 /00	055.040	4	1 47F 604	77.000	4 220 0 10	
Total Pond 2 Final Closure	715,400	655,018	176	1,475,624	77,222	1,552,846	